

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	F	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/709,613		05/18/2004	Timo Schirmer	GEMS8081.215	3612	
27061	7590	03/30/2006		EXAMINER		
		TENT SOLUTIO	FETZNER, TIFFANY A			
MEQUON,			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
				2859		
•				DATE MAILED: 02/20/200	DATE MAILED: 02/20/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Application Number	Application/Control No.	Applicant(s)/Patent under Reexamination	
	10/709,613	SCHIRMER, TIMO Art Unit	(K
	Diego Gutierrez	2859	
Document Code - AP.PRI	E.DEC		

## Notice of Panel Decision from Pre-Appeal Brief Review

This is in response to the Pre-Appeal Brief Request for Rev	riew filed March 20, 2006.
1. Improper Request – The Request is improper ar reason(s):	nd a conference will not be held for the following
<ul> <li>☐ The Notice of Appeal has not been filed concur</li> <li>☐ The request does not include reasons why a re</li> <li>☐ A proposed amendment is included with the Proposed</li> <li>☐ Other:</li> </ul>	view is appropriate.
The time period for filing a response continues to run from the mail date of the last Office communication, if no North	
2. Proceed to Board of Patent Appeals and Interfebeld. The application remains under appeal because the is required to submit an appeal brief in accordance with brief will be reset to be one month from mailing this decrunning from the receipt of the notice of appeal, whiche appeal brief is extendible under 37 CFR 1.136 based upof the notice of appeal, as applicable.	ere is at least one actual issue for appeal. Applicant a 37 CFR 41.37. The time period for filing an appeal cision, or the balance of the two-month time period over is greater. Further, the time period for filing of the
The panel has determined the status of the classical Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:	aim(s) is as follows:
3.   Allowable application – A conference has been Allowance will be mailed. Prosecution on the merits remapplicant at this time.	
4. Reopen Prosecution – A conference has been haction will be mailed. No further action is required by a	
All participants:	
(1) <u>Diego Gutierrez</u> . 66	(3)Christine Oda. CO
(2) <u>Tiffany Fetzner</u> . TE	(4)